

Kitsap County Sheriff's Office



2023 Annual Office of Professional Standards Report



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SHERIFF'S OFFICE INFORMATION	3
MISSION, VISION AND CORE VALUES	4
ACCREDITATION	5
2023 OVERVIEW	6-8
STAFFING	9-10
USE OF FORCE	11-13
INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS	14-17
PURSUITS	18
COLLISIONS	19
BIAS BASED POLICING	20
PRISION ELIMINATION ACT (PREA)	21
K-9 APPLICATIONS	22
5-YEAR STATISTICAL COMPARISONS	23-27
2023 PHOTO	28-29



Kitsap County Sheriff's Office



Port Orchard Main Office

614 Division St. MS-37 Port Orchard, WA 98366 Ph: (360)337-7101

Hours:

Monday – Friday 9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. 1:00 p.m. – 4:30 p.m. Closed 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.

Silverdale Sub-Station

3951 Randall Way Silverdale, WA 98383

Hours:

Monday – Friday 9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. 1:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. Closed 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.



Office of Professional Standards

Ph: (360)337-7002 Email: kcso-ops@kitsap.gov

Online Compliment and Complaint Form

Office of Professional Standards (kitsap.gov)



Core Values

Teamwork

We are committed to consistently engage and interact with the public, civic partners, and other police agencies in order to continually improve our community and our Sheriff's Office. We also empower and support the individual talents and creativity of our personnel. We understand that collaboration, and the sharing of knowledge and information results in the greatest problem-solving outcomes for all and further cultivates partnerships across our community.

Integrity

Understanding the great authority given us, whether observed by others or not, we embrace the highest standards of honesty, discipline, and ethics. We ensure that our communication and actions are conscientious and empathetic. We respect and show dignity to all persons, recognizing the diversity enhances our community.

Professionalism

We recognize and adjust to the evolving needs of our community, ensuring a sustained commitment to those we serve. We are an agency that remains fiscally responsible, is progressive, proactive, effective, and reliable. Being sworn to be accountable to ourselves and to the citizens, we conduct ourselves as an example to others. We invest in the welfare and safety of our personnel and the public. We are an agency that continuously strives to learn and operate at the highest levels of our professions in order to ensure that we continue to provide quality services.



Mission

Through our vision and values, we are dedicated to providing quality public safety services in partnership with our diverse communities.

Vision

Through our vision and values, we are dedicated to providing quality public safety services in partnership with our diverse communities.



Accreditation

The purpose of law enforcement agency accreditation is to professionalize the law enforcement industry by providing a review process for agencies to be certified as operating under industry best practices and standards.

The current accreditation program was created in 2007 and is overseen by the WASPC Accreditation Committee, Accreditation Commission, and Board of Directors. The Committee is responsible for maintaining accreditation standards. The Commission is responsible for reviewing accreditation on-site reports and making recommendations to the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors is responsible for conferring accreditation.

Kitsap County Sheriff's Office Accreditation Timeline:

2018
Accredited for the

1st time

2022
Successfully
Completed
Reaccreditation

In 2022, KCSO was successfully reaccredited!





Accreditation Standards:

The purpose of the WASPC Accreditation Program is to recognize agencies operating under industry best practices and standards. There are 144 accreditation standards covering nineteen major law enforcement areas:

- 1. Goals and Objectives
- 2. Role and Authority
- 3. Use of Force
- 4. Management, Staffing, Organization and Utilization of Personnel
- 5. Records Management
- 6. Information Technology
- 7. Unusual Occurrences
- 8. Health and Safety
- 9. Fiscal Management
- 10. Recruitment and Selection
- 11. Training
- 12. Performance Evaluation
- 13. Code of Conduct
- 14. Internal Affairs
- 15. Patrol Function
- 16. Traffic Function
- 17. Investigative Function
- 18. Evidence and Property Control Function
- 19. Prisoner Security

Benefits of Accreditation:

- Increase public confidence in the agency
- Increase credibility
- Provide a systemized agency self assessment
- Broaden perspectives
- Intensify administrative and operational effectiveness
- Ensure recruitment, selection, and promotion processes are fair and equitable
- Strengthen understanding of agency policies and procedures by agency personnel
- Improve agency morale and pride to decrease susceptibility to litigation and costly civil court settlements
- Potentially reduce liability insurance costs
- Provide state and local recognition of professional competence



Commissioned Deputies Overview



Calls for Service

88,023



Case Reports

14,915



Arrests

1,583




Uses of Force Reported

269



Corrections Overview





Support Services Overview






Staffing


The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office is staffed by quality men and women who are dedicated public servants. Each member goes through extensive background checks before they are hired. The Sheriff's Office is divided into four main divisions: Patrol, Detectives, Corrections and Support Services.

Commissioned



Between the Patrol Division and Detective Division there are a total of 125 Commissioned Deputies.

Corrections




Within the Corrections Division there are 103 Corrections Officers, 7 Court Security Officers and 2 Program Coordinators.

*** The total number of personnel represented in this report are budgeted positions. The actual number of personnel in each division varies throughout the year.




Staffing

Support Services



Within Support Services there are 36.75 personnel.


Two volunteer organizations work closely with our Patrol Division, Citizens on Patrol (C.O.P.) and Cadets. The C.O.P. team is responsible for disabled parking enforcement, vacation house checks, public assistance during County events such as the fair, as well as other various duties. The cadet program is made up of youth between the age of 16 and 21 with an interest in law enforcement. They train and ride with deputies. The cadets are active in many charitable events.







Use Of Force - Commissioned Deputies

Use of Force



* Level One: e.g. Counter Joint; Hair Hold; Take Down; Taser; OC Spray
** Level Two: e.g. Open Hand; Knee Strike; Kick; Kinetic Energy Projectile; Baton Strike







Use Of Force - Commissioned Deputies

Review and Analysis

In 2023, The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office responded to 88,023 calls for service, an increase of 3.53% over the 84,946 in 2022. Deputies used force a total of 278 times which equates to a use of force on .32% of incidents, which is slightly higher than the .25% the previous year.

The analysis showed assaults on deputies in 2023 (30) increased 42.86% over the previous year (21) and was significantly higher than the previous four-year average (18.25).

The Sheriff's Office uses de-escalation tactics utilizing time, distance and shielding to slow the pace of incidents to seek alternatives to the use of force in efforts to ensure non-violent resolutions to incidents, minimizing the risk of injury to individuals and deputies alike.

The Sheriff's Office remained proactive on it's use of force and deadly force training, including legal updates, defensive tactics, firearms, less-lethal options, emotional intelligence, advanced patrol tactics and policy updates.

Effective analysis on uses of force can be difficult as it is typically based on incidents where force is used and does not consider where an outcome *did not* result in a use of force. Use of Force is only one outcome to every call for service, contact with an offender or person in crisis. Possible outcomes include a peaceful encounter, violent-aggressive subject demeanor with successful de-escalation, violent-aggressive subject involving a use of force, just to name a few.

De-escalation is both a tactic *and* an outcome; however, it is one which is difficult to put into a statistical metric, although we know it is highly effective and undoubtedly results in fewer instances where force is used and typically results in lower levels of force being used during those incidents.

Every use of force is reviewed by each deputy's immediate supervisor, their respective Division Lieutenant, and the Office of Professional Standards. The Office of Professional Standards enters each use of force into a database that provides early warning detection, analyzes trends, and provides statistical comparisons. At any stage during a use of force review the matter may be directed for further inquiry or assigned to the Office of Professional Standards for formal investigation.

The review and analysis of use of force instances provided in the report showed that most techniques used were low level uses of force such as physical control/hands-on, which did not require strikes, OC, Taser, or other less-lethal options to be employed. The review also showed that in most instances where higher levels of force were used, lower levels of force had been tried, and failed, prior to the application of those higher levels. Most incidents involving the use of force continue to be with individuals who are under the influence of drugs or alcohol, suffer mental illness or are in crisis, and those involved in domestic violence.


The Kitsap County sheriff's Office appears to be exercising good judgement in their decisions to use force and there is no indication of racial disparity in these use of force incidents.

The review identified no concerning trends with respect to the use of force by the Kitsap County Sheriff's Office or any individual deputy in 2023.




Use Of Force - Corrections Officer


Use of Force



* Level One: e.g. Counter Joint; Hair Hold; Take Down; Taser; OC Spray

** Level Two: e.g. Open Hand; Knee Strike; Kick; Kinetic Energy Projectile; Baton Strike







Internal Investigations

Initiation of Investigation

Personnel Complaints include any allegation of misconduct or improper job performance that, if true, would constitute a violation of department policy or of federal state, or local law, policy or rule.

Complaints may be initiated externally by citizens or other agencies, or they may be initiated internally by any Sheriff's Office employee.

Citizens may file a complaint in person, by phone, mail, e-mail, or online. Complaints can be made to any on-duty Sheriff's Office employee, regardless of rank or position.

Types Of Investigations

Depending on the nature and severity of the allegation, a complaint may be investigated as a Supervisory Investigation or an Administrative Investigation.

Supervisory Investigations

- Typically involves only minor infractions
- Involves issues where discipline will be no more than a written reprimand (i.e. traffic complaints, rudeness)

The employee's immediate supervisor will usually conduct the Supervisory Investigation as they are typically in daily contact with their staff and are therefore best suited to address issues occurring on their shift.

The investigating supervisor will most likely be the one to decide if the allegation in the complaint is sustained, and if so, what rules or policies were violated. The supervisor will then make a determination on what discipline and/or training is appropriate.

Administrative Investigations

-Usually involves more serious complaints or complaints that could lead to more serious discipline. These are typically complaints that could involve loss of pay or higher discipline.


The Division Chief of the involved employee typically decides who will conduct the investigation. The Division Chief can assign the investigation to the Office of Professional Standards Investigator, a supervisory or manager level employee, or if a conflict of interest may arise, request the assistance of an outside agency.

The assigned investigator will prepare a final report and present it to the employee's Division Chief. The Division Chief will review the investigation and make the initial determination whether the allegation(s) are sustained. When an allegation is sustained, the Division Chief will then determine which rules or policies were violated. If policies were violated, the Division Chief will implement effective corrective actions through appropriate discipline and/or training.




Administrative Investigations


Administrative Investigations by Division



Administrative Investigations Findings




Administrative Investigations Corrective






Supervisory Investigations


Supervisory Investigations by Division



Supervisory Investigations Findings



Supervisory Corrective Actions





Administrative and Supervisory Investigations 2023 Commissioned Review and Analysis

In 2023 a total of three Administrative Investigations were initiated. Administrative Investigations typically involve allegations of more serious conduct or a pattern of behavior and are typically assigned to the Office of Professional Standards for investigation. Two of these investigations resulted in sustained findings and one is currently under review. Of the two sustained, one resulted in termination, and one resulted in suspension.

In 2023, a total of twenty-nine supervisory complaints were received and investigated. Most of these complaints were centered around citizens who were unhappy with the outcome of a call for service or how they were treated by the deputy. Each complaint was forwarded to the respective Division Chief and assigned to either a Lieutenant or Sergeant from that Division for investigation. Five of those complaints were sustained and resulted in two written reprimands and three instances of counseling/training. The remainder of the complaints were found to be not sustained, unfounded or exonerated.

The analysis showed that the number of Administrative Investigations conducted in 2023 (three) was slightly lower than the previous four-year average (4.75). The number of Supervisory Reviews in 2023 (29) is less than the previous four-year average (39.25).

The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office strives to be transparent and hold it employees to a high professional standard, respond to and evaluate citizen complaints or concerns, and hold employees accountable when appropriate.

The analysis did not identify any pattern of misconduct by any one deputy, against any specific group of citizens or identify any trends or patterns of misconduct and shows that the Kitsap County Sheriff's Office has demonstrated its ability to meet those goals.

The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office should continue its efforts to review all alleged complaints in a timely fashion, no matter the source or how they were received. All employees are directed to accept all complaints, including anonymous ones. Complaint forms are available in all lobbies; however, they may also be submitted online via the KCSO website. The Office of Professional Standards also has a direct phone-line and email for complaints or concerns.

The review identified no concerning trends with respect to misconduct or internal investigations by the Kitsap County Sheriff's Office or any individual deputy in 2023.



Pursuits

Review and Analysis

In 2023 the Kitsap County Sheriff's Office engaged in 15 Vehicle Pursuits, up 66.67% from the previous year total of 9, but still 68.33% below the previous 4-year average (25.25). Significant legislative changes may have contributed to the overall decline in pursuits over the past couple of years, however, pursuits were already trending down because the Sheriff's Office policy on vehicle pursuits was already substantially restrictive.

In 2023 the number of vehicles that fled when signaled to stop remained high. The Sheriff's Office began tracking data on vehicles which refused to stop when lawfully signaled to do so. In addition to the 15 pursuits there were:

- 35 reports classified as Felony Eluding / Attempting to Elude. These are instances where suspects did not stop and fled in a reckless manner which put the public at substantial risk.
- 172 documented instances where a vehicle fled but their actions did not necessarily rise to the level of Felony Eluding / Attempting to Elude.


Even if a pursuit is permitted under current law, deputies are expected to continually evaluate the severity of the crime and the risks of the pursuit against the need to apprehend the offender and to self-terminate the pursuit when the risk outweighs the need. On-duty supervisors monitor all pursuits and are required to make the same analysis based on information they are provided and may also terminate those pursuits.

In 2023, of the 15 pursuits initiated 26.67% (4) were terminated by the deputy or supervisor. Of the remaining eleven: five resulted in a collision, four involved an intervention or attempted intervention technique, and one ended after the suspect voluntarily stopped. Of the five pursuits ending in a collision, two suspects sustained minor injuries.

During the review and analysis, it was noted that a number of pursuits had not been properly reported and it appears there remains uncertainty and ambiguity about the constantly evolving laws and policy regarding vehicle pursuits.

After a thorough review, it was determined that in general deputies are exercising sound judgement on when to initiate a pursuit, and when to terminate or discontinue one when the risks outweighed the need for apprehension or when they exceeded legal or policy standards.

In 2024, with another legislative and policy change coming, increased training and clear expectations for deputies and supervisors should be emphasized as well as increased oversight and review of those instances where pursuits occur.





Employee Collisions

"All employees or members of the Kitsap County Sheriff's Office who operate county vehicles shall use their best judgement and operating abilities to avoid becoming involved in any type of traffic collision."


Information on each collision involving a Sheriff's Office employee gets forward to a division chief or designee. The division chief or designee shall conduct a division review when the circumstances of the collision meet the following criteria (all other collisions shall be subject to supervisory review):

- A) In any collision where more than one vehicle is involved or,
- B) When damage to a single vehicle is in excess of the accident-reporting threshold according to WAC 446-85-010 or,
- C) When there is reportable damage to the property of another or,
- D) When any person is injured as a result of the collision or,
- E) Repeated incidents involving the same employee driver that raise a question about the skill and due care exercised by the employee driver. This includes collisions when damage to a single vehicle is less than the accident-reporting threshold according to WAC 446-85-010.

During a collision review, the division chief or his designee determine whether the collision was "preventable" or "non-preventable". Action taken depends on may factors, including the employee's previous driving history, the amount of damage and the degree of inattention involved.

In 2023, there were 10 accidents involving Sheriff's Office employees.

Employee Collisions





Biased Based Policing-Traffic Citations/Arrest

			4504.					
	Criminal Traffic Infractions		Traffic In	fractions			Demog	raphics
	(Ex., DUI, Driving While License Suspended, Reckless Driving)		(Ex., Speeding, Failure to Yield, Cell phone violation, Seatbelt Violation)		Am	ests	(US Cens	sus 2021)
Race	# By Race	% By Race	# By Race	% By Race	# By Race	% By Race	Kitsap County (2022)	Navy*
							(est. 277,673)	(est. 15,601)
White	149	78%	2083	74%	2513	79%%	82%	58.20%
Black	12	6%	121	4%	357	11%	3%	19.10%
Asian/Pacific Island	2	1%	91	3%	112	3%	6.5%	5.60%
Native American	4	2%	8	<1%	94	3%	2%	3%
Unknown	25	13%	503	18%	118	4%		
Total	192		2806		3194			

^{*}The impact that the military presence has on the Sheriff's Office's enforcement statistics is unknown. Naval Base Kitsap employs 15,601 active-duty members who are not included in Kitsap MSA population or demographic statistics. They do, however, live, work, and play here while traveling our roads. The military's presence must be considered in the Sheriff's Office's enforcement statistics' overall calculus.

Review and Analysis:

The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office prohibits race, ethnicity, nationality, religion, gender, sexual orientation, economic status, age, cultural group, disability, or affiliation with any similarly identifiable group from being used as the basis for providing different levels of law enforcement service or the enforcement of the law. A review of demographic data shows the rate of arrests, citations, and infractions to be statistically consistent with the previous four years and does not appear to show bias.

The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office is unable to analyze the rate at which citations are issued compared to verbal warnings as no demographic date is collected when only verbal warnings are issued. The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office does not issue written warnings.

The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office remains committed to ensuring all community members are treated fairly and impartially and prohibits deputies from engaging in bias-based profiling or policing.

The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office did not receive any complaints regarding racial bias or about bias-based policing.

A review of use of force events was comparable to the demographic data regarding arrests, citations and infractions and showed no indications of racial bias, patterns, or trends with any deputies' use of force and the review of the annual report did not indicate any concerning behavior.

This review found no evidence of bias-based policing. The demographic and statistical data in the annual report supports this conclusion.






Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

The Prison Elimination Act of 2003 is a federal law that prohibits sexual misconduct in correctional settings such as prisons, jails, lockups, juvenile facilities and Immigration Services/ICE Detention facilities. Sexual misconduct under this law includes:


- Inmate-on-inmate sexual assault/abuse
- Staff-on-inmate sexual misconduct (sexual/inappropriate relationships with inmates)
- Inmate-on-inmate and staff-on-inmate sexual harassment

The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office is committed to providing a safe, healthy environment for staff and inmates. Every report is taken seriously, and all allegations will be thoroughly and promptly investigated.

PREA Investigations Allegation Against Staff



PREA Investigations Allegation Against Inmate





Generalist K-9 Applications



K-9 Bumper and Deputy Williams

Tracks	29
Building Searches	8
Evidence Searches	4
Arrests	14
Assisting Other Agencies	16
K-9 Demonstrations	28



K-9 Odin and Deputy Baker

Tracks	28
Building Searches	7
Evidence Searches	3
Arrests	14
Assisting Other Agencies	5
K-9 Demonstrations	29

"The Kitsap County Sheriff's Office K-9 Unit was established in 1980 and has served the citizens of Kitsap continuously for the past 44 years. Our current handlers consist of Deputy Aaron Baker and his partner "Odin" and Deputy Noland Williams and his partner "Bumper". Odin is a four-year-old German Shepherd who has been with the sheriff's office for the past year and a half. Bumper is a six-year-old Dutch Shepherd and has been with the sheriff's office for three and a half years. Both dogs are trained in obedience, tracking, article searches, area searches, building searches and apprehension. Both dogs live at home with their human partners and love to do regular dog things when they're not working, like playing tug and chasing tennis balls.

With the large number of retirements and hiring of new deputies, we experimented with using Deputy Baker as a Field Training Officer for several months, to augment the program with his decades of law enforcement experience. While necessary and beneficial to the program, the workload of being both an FTO and a K-9 handler was too time intensive. Late in 2023, we moved Deputy Baker back to the sole role of a K-9 handler where he continued the training progression of Odin, who came to us in late 2022."



Administrative Investigations

Total Investigations

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Commissioned	3	3	4	6	6
Corrections	2	2	4	4	3
Support Services	0	0	1	2	1

Findings

Unfounded	0	0	0	1	1
Exonerated	0	0	0	0	1
Not Sustained	0	0	1	0	0
Sustained	4	3	2	7	5
Not Ruled/ Pending	1	2	6	4	0

Conclusion

_	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019		
Counseling	0	0	0	0	0		
Verbal Reprimand	0	1	1	0	3		
Written Reprimand	0	2	1	2	2		
Suspension	2	0	0	2	2		
Demotion	0	0	0	0	0		
Resignation	0	0	0	2	0		
Termination	3	0	0	2	0		

Supervisory Investigations

Total Investigations

	2023	2022	2020	2019	2018
Commissioned	29	35	29	47	46
Corrections	23	31	27	28	28
Support Services	1	3	1	4	0
Volunteers	0	1	0	1	1

Findings

i iliuliig5						
Unfounded	21	21	11	14	18	
Exonerated	7	7	5	13	15	
Not Sustained	17	16	12	6	14	
Sustained	21	21	29	32	22	
Not Ruled/ Pending	2	4	0	0	0	

Conclusion

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Counseling	8	10	4	6	13
Verbal Reprimand	4	4	1	18	11
Written Reprimand	6	5	2	4	4
Retraining	0	2	0	0	0



Prison Elimination Act (PREA) Allegation

Allegations					
Against Inmate	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Total Incidents	7	3	4	2	4

Allegations Against Staff	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Total Incidents	8	6	2	2	4

Unfounded	3	1	2	0	4
Exonerated	0	0	0	0	0
Not Sustained	1	0	0	1	0
Sustained	1	1	2	1	0

Unfounded	7	4	0	5	2
Exonerated	0	0	0	0	0
Not Sustained	0	1	1	0	2
Sustained	0	1	0	1	0

Vehicle Pursuits

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Total Pursuits	15	9	19	33	40

	Employ	yee	Invo	lved	Coll	<u>lisions</u>
--	---------------	-----	------	------	------	----------------

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Total Collisions	10	13	18	9	23

Terminated by Deputy/ Supervisor	4	3	16	18	22
Suspect Stopped	1	1	1	7	4
Stopped After Interventions Technique	4	5	1	3	5
Suspect Involved in Collision	5	2	1	5	4

Non- Preventable/ Non- Chargeable	4	3	10	3	7
Preventable/ Non- Chargeable	2	3	0	0	1
Preventable/ Chargeable	4	7	8	6	15

Total

Display/ Applied

Display/ Applied

OC

36

22%



\sim			-			_
Com	misc	sion	ed	LISE	Ωf	Force
COILL			LCU		OI	10166

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Incidents	278	212	161	138	192

Assault	30	21	18	14	20
on					
Deputies					

Technique Used					
Level 1	183	151	117	105	101
Level 2	8	22	14	15	26
Lethal	0	1	1	1	0
Draw and Direct	125	83	50	46	79
Taser	38	51	21	13	14

Sex					
Male	78%	79%	75%	78%	76%

21%

7

3

0

75%

4

4

24%

0

22%

Race					
White	78%	78%	76%	80%	76%
Black	13%	12%	10%	15%	14%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%
Native American	3%	2%	3%	0%	3%
Other/Unknown	3%	4%	8%	2%	2%

Corrections Use of Force

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Total Incidents	130	160	101	156	153

Assault on Corrections Officer	30	18	13	13	11

Technique Used					
Level 1	123	155	96	156	153
Level 2	24	15	8	21	24
Lethal	0	0	0	0	0
Draw and Direct	1	0	0	0	1
Taser Display/Applied	9	17	10	8	27
OC Display/Applied	7	18	6	12	5
LVNR	0	0	0	0	0

Sex					
Male	76%	83%	65%	67%	79%
Female	24%	17%	35%	33%	21%

	,				
Race					
White	78%	84%	73%	79%	80%
Black	13%	15%	21%	15%	14%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4%	<1%	0%	<1%	3%
Native American	2%	<1%	2%	3%	1%
Other/Unknown	3%	<1%	4%	2%	2%



5-Year Statistical Comparison

All Arrests

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Total Arrests **	3194	3174	3146	3625	5259

Age					
8-12	<1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
13-18	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%
19-25	15%	15%	16%	17%	17%
26-35	32%	33%	36%	35%	36%
36-45	29%	31%	27%	26%	24%
46-60	16%	15%	13%	15%	16%
61-75	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%
76+	<1%	<1%	>1%	>1%	>1%

Sex					
Male	75%	79%	78%	75%	74%
Female	24%	21%	22%	25%	26%
Other	1%	0%	0%	0%	>1%

Race					
White	79%	82%	81%	83%	81%
Black	11%	10%	11%	10%	10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Native American	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Other/Unknown	4%	1%	1%	1%	>1%

^{**} Total arrest by Commissioned Correction Personnel.

Total Issued



	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019		2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Total Stops	7122	6617	5549	6602	11141	Parking Infraction	467	424	399	281	917

Total Issued

Criminal Citation Issued (Traffic and Non-Traffic)

Notice of Infraction Issued (Traffic and Non-Traffic)

							_				
Age						Age					
8-12	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8-12	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
13-18	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	13-18	8%	6%	6%	6%	5%
19-25	15%	13%	17%	18%	16%	19-25	19%	19%	21%	22%	20%
26-35	26%	25%	34%	32%	30%	26-35	23%	26%	26%	25%	24%
36-45	30%	32%	20%	18%	22%	36-45	19%	20%	18%	17%	18%
46-60	21%	23%	20%	18%	22%	46-60	18%	18%	18%	18%	19%
61-75	7%	5%	4%	2%	3%	61-75	10%	9%	9%	9%	11%
76+	<1%	<1%	0%	>1%	>1%	76 +	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%

Sex						
Male	67%	70%	69%	68%	65%	
Female	33%	30%	31%	31%	35%	
Other	0%	0%	0%	>1%	>1%	

Sex					
Male	62%	60%	61%	60%	58%
Female	38%	40%	39%	39%	42%
Other	<1%	0%	>1%	>1%	>1%

Race			Race								
White	78%	70%	74%	79%	78%	White	74%	75%	77%	82%	83%
Black	6%	5%	6%	8%	8%	Black	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1%	0%	1%	2%	3%	Asian/Pacific Islander	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Native American	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Native American	<1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other/Unknown	13%	25%	19%	11%	11%	Other/Unknown	18%	18%	15%	10%	8%



2023

PHOTOS





2023

PHOTOS

