



**ADDENDUM #2**  
**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS 2016-101**  
**FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT**

**TO:** All Respondents  
**FROM:** Colby Wattling, Buyer  
**CLOSING DATE:** **February 17, 2016 3:00 pm (CHANGED)**  
**REF NO.:** 2016-101 RFQ FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT  
**DATE:** February 8, 2016

---

Addendum 1 issued on January 27, 2016 contained inaccurate information regarding evaluation criteria in response to one of the Question 2. Below is the corrected answer

Q2: Paragraph 1.5 of the RFQ requests a "budget" (which we interpret is a request for a proposed fee to be submitted as part of our proposal response. Traditionally fee proposals are not requested (or considered as part of the selection criteria) for A/E professional services by public agencies in Washington State, per (chapter 39.80 RCW). Is there is an exemption for this project?

**A2: No fee or pricing information will be required with submittal information in response to 2016-101, please disregard that as an evaluation criteria.**

Additionally in Section 1.5 Assumptions and Agreements it states "Cost proposal will include complete evaluative services, travel, lodging, meals and incidentals." This is to mean after firm has been selected.

There are no other changes to the original specifications other than what is changed by Addendum 2 and Acknowledgement of receipt of this and all ADDENDA are required.

END OF ADDENDUM #2