



# ADDENDUM #1

## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS 2016-101

### FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT

**TO:** All Respondents

**FROM:** Colby Wattling, Buyer

**CLOSING DATE:** February 10, 2016 3:00 pm (UNCHANGED)

**REF NO.:** 2016-101 RFQ FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT

**DATE:** January 27, 2016

---

The following are responses to questions asked during the allowed timeframe.

Q1: A page limit is mentioned in the in Part C of Selection Criteria but not specified, is there a page limit?

**A1: Because no limit was specified there will not be a limit that must be adhered to.**

Q2: Paragraph 1.5 of the RFQ requests a "budget" (which we interpret is a request for a proposed fee to be submitted as part of our proposal response. Traditionally fee proposals are not requested (or considered as part of the selection criteria) for A/E professional services by public agencies in Washington State, per (chapter 39.80 RCW). Is there is an exemption for this project?

**A2: Price will not be the sole factor of the final decision, it is asked for as an evaluation area.**

Q3: Section 4 Compensation of Appendix "A" references an Exhibit "B", which was not included in the submittal package. If a priced proposal is required Is Exhibit B available for our use?

**A3: Appendix A is a sample of the contract, the final executed contract would include any pertinent information.**

Q4: Does the Kitsap County Sherriff's Department have a small arms firing range as a part of their assets?

**A4: KCSO currently uses an outdoor range which is owned by the City of Bremerton.**

There are no other changes to the original specifications other than what is changed by Addendum 1 and Acknowledgement of receipt of this and all ADDENDA are required.

END OF ADDENDUM #1