

Notice of Hearing Examiner Decision

05/16/2024

To: Interested Parties and Parties of Record

RE: Project Name: Tulp Conditional Use Permit – Accessory Dwelling Unit (CUP-ADU) Applicant: Tara and Robert Tulp PO Box 86 Seabeck, WA 98380 Application: Conditional Use Permit Permit Number: 23-01381

The Kitsap County Hearing Examiner has **APPROVED** the land use application for **Permit # 23-01381 Tulp Conditional Use Permit – Accessory Dwelling Unit (CUP-ADU)**, **subject to the conditions outlined in this Notice and included Decision**.

THE DECISION OF THE HEARING EXAMINER IS FINAL, UNLESS TIMELY APPEALED, AS PROVIDED UNDER WASHINGTON LAW.

The applicant is encouraged to review the Kitsap County Office of Hearing Examiner Rules of Procedure found at: <u>https://www.kitsap.gov/dcd/HEDocs/HE-Rules-for-Kitsap-County.pdf</u>.

Please note affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes, notwithstanding any program of revaluation. Please contact the Assessor's Office at 360-337-5777 to determine if a change in valuation is applicable due to the issued Decision.

The complete case file is available for review by contacting the Department of Community Development; if you wish to view the case file or have other questions, please contact <u>help@kitsap1.com</u> or (360) 337-5777.

CC: Owner/Applicant: Robert & Tara Tulp, taralyntulp@gmail.com Authorized Agent: Kathy Cloninger, kcloni9999@msn.com Engineer: Matthew Zawlocki - NL OLSON ASSOCIATES, INC, mzawlocki@nlolson.com Health District Public Works Parks Navy DSE Kitsap Transit Central Kitsap Fire District Central Kitsap School District No. 401 Puget Sound Energy Water Purveyor Sewer Purveyor Point No Point Treaty Council Suquamish Tribe Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe Squaxin Island Tribe Puyallup Tribe WA Dept of Fish & Wildlife WA Dept of Fish & Wildlife WA Dept of Transportation/Aviation WA State Dept of Ecology-SEPA WA State Dept of Ecology-Wetland Review WA State Dept of Transportation Interested Parties: Fred Gilbert, fgilbert69@hotmail.com

1						
2						
3	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER FOR KITSAP COUNTY					
4	Phil Olbrechts, Hearing Examiner					
5	RE: Tulp Conditional Use Accessory Dwelling Unit FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS					
6	Accessory Dwelling Unit (CUP - ADU)FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DECISION.					
7						
8	File No. 23-01381					
9						
10	INTRODUCTION					
11	The Applicants, Tara and Robert Tulp, request a Conditional Use Permit for a detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) on property located at 14973 Seabeck Hwy NW in					
12	Seabeck. The application is approved subject to conditions.					
13	ORAL TESTIMONY					
14	Izzy Lotz, Kitsap County Associate Planner, summarized the staff report. In response					
15	to Examiner questions Ms. Lotz responded that the proposed ADU will be shielded from view on the north and east by trees and there are single-family homes located to					
16	the south and west.					
17						
18	EXHIBITS					
19	Exhibits 20 listed in the Index to the Record prepared by County staff were admitted during the hearing. In addition an email of support from Frederick Gilbert dated April					
20	23, 2024 was admitted as Exhibit 21.					
21	FINDINGS OF FACT					
22	Procedural:					
23	1. <u>Applicant</u> . Tara and Robert Tulp , 14973 Seabeck Hwy NW , WA 98380					
24	2. <u>Hearing</u> . The Hearing Examiner conducted a remote/hybrid hearing on the					
25	application at 9:30 am on April 25, 2025.					
	Substantive:					
	Conditional Use p. 1 Findings, Conclusions and Decision					

1 2	3. <u>Site/Proposal Description</u> . The applicants request approval of a Conditional Use Permit for a detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) on an 0.81 acre lot located at 14973 Seabeck Hwy NW in Seabeck. The proposed ADU will be		
3	652.76 single-	5 square feet and approximately 92 feet from the 1,458-square-foot existing family residence. The ADU will be the only ADU on the parcel. The ADU will e same driveway as the primary residence.	
5			
6	4. Rural vacant	<u>Characteristics of the Area</u> . The subject property is bordered on all sides by Residential zoned parcels that are developed with single-family homes or are	
7			
8	5. propos	<u>Adverse Impacts</u> . No significant adverse impacts are anticipated from the sal. Impacts are more directly addressed as follows:	
9			
10	a.	<u>Off-Street Parking</u> . KCC 17.49.030 requires three (3) parking off-street spaces per single-family residence and one (1) parking space for an ADU. Staff have found that the off-street parking area proposed by the Applicants is sufficient	
11		to accommodate the required 4x9 foot parking spaces.	
12 13	b.	Stormwater. Development Engineering has reviewed the land use proposal and finds the concept supportable in its approach to civil site development. The	
		comments are based on a review of the preliminary drainage plan.	
14 15	с.	<u>Environmental/Critical Areas</u> . There is a seasonal stream off-site, the project is located 63' from the seasonal stream. The standard buffer is 50' plus a 15' building setback. The project proposes to use a pre-existing driveway that follows along the seasonal stream. See Exhibit 12, a latter proposed by the	
16		follows along the seasonal stream. See Exhibit 13, a letter prepared by the Applicants' biologist, concluding the driveway is existing.	
17 18		The subject property is in a moderate geologic erosion hazard area. Submitted in the application was a Geologic Letter prepared by Envirotech Engineering,	
19		PLLC dated June 3, 2022. The report concluded that the proposal "From a geotechnical position, it is Envirotech's opinion that the subject property and adjacent properties to the proposed development should not be significantly	
20		<i>impacted.</i> " The project is conditioned to follow the recommendations of the report.	
21	d.	<u>Access, Traffic, Roads</u> . Development Services and Engineering reviewed the	
22		proposal for compliance with traffic and road standards and recommended approval with conditions. At building permit application, the applicants will be	
23		required to submit a Kitsap County Public Works Form 1601 for issuance of a concurrency certificate, as required by Kitsap County Code 20.04.030,	
24		Transportation Concurrency.	
25	e.	<u>Fire Safety</u> . The Kitsap County Fire Marshall's Office reviewed and approved the proposal.	

1	f. <u>Water/Sewer</u> . Water and septic were approved by the Kitsap County Health District.		
2	g. <u>Compatibility</u> . The proposal is compatible with surrounding uses. As		
3	identified in Finding of Fact No. 4, surrounding uses are all vacant or residential. The proposed ADU is will also be surrounded by numerous trees		
4	on all sides as shown in the aerial photograph of Exhibit 5, p. 36. The increase in density is not likely to make a significant aesthetic difference to surrounding		
5	neighbors. The provide for similar appearance to the primary residence, the proposed ADU will have the same pitched roof and siding.		
6	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
7	Procedural:		
8			
9	1. <u>Authority of Hearing Examiner</u> . KCC 17.550.030 authorizes the hearing examiner to issue decisions on applications for conditional use permits.		
10	Substantive:		
11	2. <u>Zoning Designation</u> . The property is currently zoned Rural Residential.		
12	2. <u>Zoning Designation</u> . The property is currently zoned Kurai Kesidentiai.		
13	3. <u>Review Criteria</u> . KCC 17.410.042 requires a conditional use permit f detached ADUs in the RR zone. KCC 17.550.030A governs the criteria for condition		
14 15	use permit. Pertinent criteria are quoted below and applied via corresponding conclusions of law.		
15 16 17	KCC 17.550.030.A: The hearing examiner may approve, approve with conditions, or deny a hearing examiner conditional use permit. Approval or approval with conditions may be granted only when all the following criteria are met:		
18	KCC 17.550.030.A.1: <i>The proposal is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan;</i>		
19 20	4. <u>Criterion met</u> . The criterion is met. The proposed ADU provides the ability to create an affordable housing unit which is a goal supported by several policies in the		
20	Comprehensive Plan in a manner consistent with the zoning established for the subject property. The proposal is also found consistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the		
22	reasons identified in Section 7 of the staff report (Exhibit 1).		
23	KCC 17.550.030.A.2: The proposal complies with applicable requirements of this <i>title;</i>		
24	5 Criterion met. The criterion is met. The proposal conforms to the County's zoning		
25	5. <u>Criterion met</u> . The criterion is met. The proposal conforms to the County's zoning code as detailed in Section 10 of the staff report (Exhibit 1). Staff planning and public works staff have reviewed the proposal to ensure conformance to the County's zoning code for this level of review. The results of that work have been implemented in detailed conditions of approval, adopted by this decision. Nothing in the record		
	Conditional Use p. 3 Findings, Conclusions and Decision		

suggests any outstanding compliance issues. More detailed compliance will be required during the building and civil permit review.

2 The primary issue of zoning compliance is adherence to KCC 17.415.015B, which adopts standards tailored to detached ADUs outside urban growth areas. Pursuant to 3 those standards, only one ADU is allowed per lot, the owner of the property must reside in the primary residence or the ADU, the ADU shall not exceed 50% of the habitable 4 area of the primary residence, the ADU shall be located within 150 feet of the primary 5 residence, the ADU shall be designed to maintain the appearance of the primary residence, all setback requirements shall be met, all health district standards shall be 6 met, ADUs may not be mobile homes or recreational vehicles, and the ADU shall use the same access as the primary residence and shall provide an additional parking space. 7 The conditions of approval recommended by staff require conformance to all of these 8 standards. The proposed design and site characteristics further establish conformance as detailed in Findings of Fact No. 3 and 5. 9 **KCC 17.550.030.A.3.:** The proposal will not be materially detrimental to existing or 10

future uses or property in the immediate vicinity; and

11 6. Criterion met. The criterion is met for the reasons identified in Finding of Fact No. 12 5.

13 **KCC 17.550.030.A.4:** The proposal is compatible with and incorporates specific features, conditions, or revisions that ensure it responds appropriately to the existing 14 character, appearance, quality or development, and physical characteristics of the subject property and the immediate vicinity. 15

16 7. Criterion met. The criterion is met for the reasons identified in Finding of Fact No. 5g and via its required conformance to KCC 17.415.015B. 17

DECISION

19 Based upon the conclusions of law above, the conditional use permit application is approved subject to the following conditions: 20

a. Planning/Zoning

1

18

21

22

23

24

25

- 1. All required permits shall be obtained prior to commencement of land clearing, construction and/or occupancy.
- 2. The accessory dwelling unit is subject to the payment of impact fees. Impact fees must be paid at time of permit issuance, or if deferred, must be paid prior to final inspection. No certificate of occupancy will be granted until all impact fees are paid.
 - 3. Any proposed modification (not including cosmetic work such as painting, papering and similar finish work), remodel or expansion of the

1 2		accessory dwelling unit building, regardless of whether a building permit is required, shall be reviewed by the Department of Community Development and granted approval prior to such modification, expansion, construction and/or issuance of a building permit.
3 4	4.	Only one accessory dwelling unit shall be permitted on the subject property.
5	5.	The owner of the property must reside in either the primary residence or
6		the accessory dwelling unit and only one of the structures may be rented at any one time.
7		The accessory dwelling unit's (ADU) habitable area shall not exceed
8		50% of the primary residence or 900 square feet, whichever is smaller. The proposed size of the ADU is 652.76 square feet. Any future
9 10		expansion of the ADU will require a building permit and would have to comply with all code requirements in place at the time of the new
11		building permit application.
12	6.	The accessory dwelling unit shall be designed to maintain the appearance of the primary residence.
13	7.	No mobile home or recreational vehicle shall be allowed as an accessory
14		dwelling unit.
15	8.	The accessory dwelling unit shall use the same side street entrance as the primary residence and shall provide one additional off-street parking
16		space.
17	9.	An attached accessory dwelling unit (formerly called accessory living quarters) or guest house is not permitted on the same lot unless the
18 19		accessory dwelling unit is removed and the ADU-attached or GH complies with all requirements imposed by the Kitsap County Code.
20	10	
20	10.	A property with a primary residence and an accessory dwelling unit cannot be segregated to create two separate legal lots unless it complies
22		with all subdivision, zoning and density requirements in place at the time of a complete subdivision application.
23	11.	The accessory dwelling unit cannot be sold separately from the primary
24		residence unless it has legally been segregated onto its own lot.
25	12.	The recipient of any conditional use permit shall file a Notice of Land Use Binder with the county auditor prior to any of the following:
		initiation of any further site work, issuance of any development/construction permits by the county, or occupancy/use of the
	Conditior	nal Use p. 5 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

L

1 2 3	subject property or buildings thereon for the use or activity authorized. The Notice of Land Use Binder shall serve both as an acknowledgment of and agreement to abide by the terms and conditions of the conditional use permit and as a notice to prospective purchasers of the existence of the permit. The Binder shall be prepared and recorded by the Department
4	at the applicant's expense.
5	13. The uses of the subject property are limited to the uses proposed by the applicant and any other uses will be subject to further review pursuant to the requirements of the Kitsap County Code. Unless in conflict with the
	conditions stated and/or any regulations, all terms and specifications of the application shall be binding conditions of approval. Approval of this
7	project shall not, and is not, to be construed as approval for more
8	extensive or other utilization of the subject property.
9	14. The authorization granted herein is subject to all applicable federal, state,
10	and local laws, regulations, and ordinances. Compliance with such laws, regulations, and ordinances is a condition to the approvals granted and is
11	a continuing requirement of such approvals. By accepting this/these approvals, the applicant represents that the development and activities
12	allowed will comply with such laws, regulations, and ordinances. If,
13	during the term of the approval granted, the development and activities permitted do not comply with such laws, regulations, or ordinances, the
14	applicant agrees to promptly bring such development or activities into compliance.
15	
16	15. The decision set forth herein is based upon representations made and exhibits contained in the project application. Any change(s) or
17	deviation(s) in such plans, proposals, or conditions of approval imposed shall be subject to further review and approval of the County and
18	potentially the Hearing Examiner.
19	16. This Conditional Use Permit approval shall automatically become void if no development permit application is accepted as complete by the
20	Department of Community Development within four years of the Notice
21	of Decision date or the resolution of any appeals.
22	17. Any violation of the conditions of approval shall be grounds to initiate
23	revocation of this Conditional Use Permit.
24	b. Development Engineering 18. Stormwater quantity control, quality treatment, and erosion and
25	sedimentation control, as required for the development, shall be designed in accordance with Kitsap County Code Title 12 effective at the time this permit application was deemed fully complete. If development meets the thresholds for engineered drainage design, the submittal documents shall
	Conditional Use p. 6 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1 2	be prepared by a civil engineer licensed in the State of Washington. The fees and submittal requirements shall be in accordance with Kitsap County Ordinances in effect at the time of Building Permit Application.			
3	19. Building permits submitted for this development shall include construction plans and profiles for all roads, driveways, storm drainage facilities and appurtenances. No construction shall be started prior to said			
5	plan acceptance.			
6	c. Environmental			
6 7	The project shall follow the recommendations of the submitted in Geologic Letter by Envirotech Engineering, PLLC dated June 3, 2022.			
8	d. Traffic and Roads			
9	21. At building permit application, the applicants will be required to submit a Kitsap County Public Works Form 1601 for issuance of a concurrency			
10	certificate, as required by Kitsap County Code 20.04.030, Transportation Concurrency.			
11				
12	e. Fire Safety None			
13	f. Solid Waste			
14	None			
17				
15	h. Kitsap Public Health District			
16	22. This permit shall comply with all Kitsap Public Health District regulations and conditions of approval.			
17				
17	Dated this 16 th day of May 2024.			
18	Phil Olbrechts			
19	Phil Olbrechts,			
1)	Kitsap County Hearing Examiner			
20				
21	Appeal Right and Valuation Notices			
22				
23	Pursuant to KCC 21.04.100 and KCC 21.04.110, this conditional use permit decision a final land use decision of Kitsap County and may be appealed to superior court within			
24	21 days as governed by the Washington State Land Use Petition Act, Chapter 36.70C RCW.			
25	Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation.			
	Conditional Use p. 7 Findings, Conclusions and Decision			